

GENERALIZED UNIFORM COVERING MAPS RELATIVE TO SUBGROUPS

B. LABUZ

ABSTRACT. In “Rips complexes and covers in the uniform category” [4] the authors define, following James [5], covering maps of uniform spaces and introduce the concept of generalized uniform covering maps. Conditions for the existence of universal uniform covering maps and generalized uniform covering maps are given. This paper extends these results by investigating the existence of these covering maps relative to subgroups of the uniform fundamental group and the fundamental group of the base space.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Generalized uniform covering maps relative to subgroups of the uniform fundamental group	4
3. Uniform covering maps relative to subgroups of the uniform fundamental group	8
4. Uniform covering maps relative to subgroups of the fundamental group	10
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], a theory of uniform covering maps and generalized uniform covering maps for uniform spaces is developed. In particular, it is shown that a locally uniform joinable chain connected space has a universal generalized uniform covering space and a path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected space has a universal uniform covering space. This paper extends these results to match the corresponding result in the classical setting. In this setting, one not only has a theorem about the existence of a universal covering space but a theorem about the existence of a covering space corresponding to any subgroup of the fundamental group of the base space.

A good source for basic facts about uniform spaces is [2]. Let us recall some definitions and results from [4]. Given a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with X a uniform space, the function **generates a uniform structure** on Y if the family $\{f(E) : E \text{ is an entourage of } X\}$ forms a basis for a uniform structure on Y . If Y already has a uniform structure, the function generates that structure if and only if it is uniformly continuous and the image of every entourage of X is an entourage of Y . Given an entourage E of X , an **E -chain** in X is a finite sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E$ for each $i \leq n$. Inverses and concatenations of E -chains are

defined in the obvious way. X is **chain connected** if for each entourage E of X and any $x, y \in X$ there is an E -chain starting at x and ending at y . A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from a uniform space X has **chain lifting** if for every entourage E of X there is an entourage F of X so that for any $x \in X$, any $f(F)$ -chain in Y starting at $f(x)$ can be lifted to an E -chain in X starting at x . The function f has **uniqueness of chain lifts** if for every entourage E of X there is an entourage $F \subset E$ so that any two F -chains in X starting at the same point with identical images must be equal. Showing that f has unique chain lifting amounts to finding an entourage of X that is **transverse** to f . An entourage E_0 is transverse to f if for any $(x, y) \in E_0$ with $f(x) = f(y)$, we must have $x = y$. The function has **unique chain lifting** if it has both chain lifting and uniqueness of chain lifts.

Like in the setting of paths, we wish to have homotopies of chains. Homotopies between chains were successfully defined in [1]. The following is an equivalent definition from [4] that relies on homotopies already defined for paths. It utilizes Rips complexes which are a fundamental tool for studying chains in a uniform space. Given an entourage E of X the Rips complex $R(X, E)$ is the subcomplex of the full complex over X whose simplices are finite E -bounded subsets of X . Any E -chain $x_1, \dots, x_n$ determines a homotopy class of paths in $R(X, E)$. Simply join successive terms x_i, x_{i+1} by an edge path, i.e., a path along the edge joining x_i and x_{i+1} . Since only homotopy classes of paths will be considered any two such paths will be equivalent. Two E -chains starting at the same point x and ending at the same point y are **E -homotopic relative endpoints** if the corresponding paths in $R(X, E)$ are homotopic relative endpoints.

We wish to consider finer and finer chains in a space and therefore come to the concept of generalized paths. A **generalized path** is a collection of homotopy classes of chains $\alpha = \{\alpha_E\}_E$ where E runs over all entourages of X and for any $F \subset E$, α_F is E -homotopic relative endpoints to α_E . Inverses and concatenations of generalized paths are defined in the obvious way. The set of generalized paths in X starting at x_0 is denoted as $GP(X, x_0)$. We will suppress the use of a basepoint and just write $GP(X)$. $GP(X)$ is given a uniform structure generated by basic entourages defined as follows. For each entourage E of X let E^* be the set of all pairs (α, β) , $\alpha, \beta \in GP(X, x_0)$, such that $\alpha_E^{-1}\beta_E$ is E -homotopic to the chain x, y where x is the endpoint of α and y is the endpoint of β . Call such a generalized path **E -short**.

Analogously to the way the fundamental group is defined, define the group $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)$ to be the group of all generalized loops based at x_0 . It is isomorphic to $\varprojlim (\pi_1(R(X, E), x_0))$. Also, as the notation suggests, $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)$ is isomorphic to the first shape group for metric compacta.

Rips complexes are used in the definition for uniform covering maps in [4]. Let us use a formulation that is proved equivalent in that paper. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a **uniform covering map** if it generates the uniform structure on Y and has unique chain lifting.

More definitions are needed in order to define a generalized uniform covering map. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function between uniform spaces. This function has **approximate uniqueness of chain lifts** if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage $F \subset E$ such that any two F -chains that start at the same point and have identical images under f are E -close. Two chains $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are **E -close** if $(x_i, y_i) \in E$ for each $i \leq n$. The function f has **generalized path**

lifting if for any $x \in X$, any generalized path starting at $f(x)$ lifts to a generalized path starting at x .

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a **generalized uniform covering map** if it generates the uniform structure on Y and has chain lifting, approximate uniqueness of chain lifts, and generalized path lifting. The definition from [4] includes a useful property that is later proved to be redundant. Given a uniform continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ there is an induced function $f_* : GP(X) \rightarrow GP(Y)$ as described in [4].

Lemma 1.1. [4] *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map. Then for each entourage E of X there is an entourage F of Y so that any two generalized paths in X starting at the same point are E^* -close if their images under f_* are F^* -close. In particular, F -short generalized paths in Y lift to E -short generalized paths in X .*

This property can be used to show that a generalized uniform covering map has uniqueness of generalized path lifts provided X is Hausdorff. This result is proved for a special case in [4].

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map with X Hausdorff. Then f has uniqueness of generalized path lifts.*

Proof. Suppose two generalized paths α and β in X start at the same point and have $f_*(\alpha) = f_*(\beta)$. Given an entourage E of X , by 1.1, α and β are E^* -close. Then the endpoints of α and β are E -close. Since X is Hausdorff this implies that the endpoints are equal. Then $\alpha = \beta$ since α_E and β_E are E -homotopic rel. endpoints for each entourage E of X . $\square$

In fact if Y is Hausdorff, then a generalized uniform covering map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ having unique generalized path lifting is equivalent to X being Hausdorff.

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map with Y Hausdorff. If f has uniqueness of generalized path lifts then X is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Suppose X is not Hausdorff. Then there is $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E$ for each entourage E of X but $x \neq y$. Consider the constant generalized path at x and the generalized path $\{[x, y]_E\}_E$. They are not equal since they have different endpoints but they are both lifts of the constant generalized path at $f(x)$. Indeed, $f(x) = f(y)$ since $(f(x), f(y)) \in f(E)$ for each entourage E of X and f generates the uniform structure on Y . $\square$

The most important property of covering maps is the lifting lemma, and generalized uniform covering maps have this property.

Lemma 1.4. [4] *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous. Suppose X is Hausdorff and Z is locally uniform joinable chain connected. Let $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$, and $z_0 \in Z$ with $f(x_0) = g(z_0) = y_0$. Then there is a unique uniformly continuous lift $h : Z \rightarrow X$ of g with $h(z_0) = x_0$ if and only if $g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0)) \subset f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$.*

Conditions for the endpoint map $GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ to be a generalized uniform covering map are introduced in [4]. A uniform space X is **uniform joinable** if any two points in X can be joined by a generalized path. A uniform space X is **locally uniform joinable** if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage $F \subset E$ such that if $(x, y) \in F$, x and y can be joined by an E -short generalized path. It is easy

to see that X is locally uniform joinable and chain connected if and only if X is locally uniform joinable and uniform joinable. We will use the former description.

Proposition 1.5. [4] *The endpoint map $GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a generalized uniform covering map if and only if X is locally uniform joinable chain connected.*

In particular there is the following equivalence.

Proposition 1.6. *Suppose X is chain connected. Then the endpoint map $GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ generates the uniform structure on X if and only if X is locally uniform joinable.*

2. GENERALIZED UNIFORM COVERING MAPS RELATIVE TO SUBGROUPS OF THE UNIFORM FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

Let us first classify generalized uniform covering spaces over X in terms of subgroups of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. The corresponding theory for uniform covering spaces will follow.

We will construct, given a subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$, a generalized uniform covering space of X . It will be constructed as the quotient of an action of H on $GP(X)$. Given $h \in H$ and $\alpha \in GP(X)$, let $h \cdot \alpha = h\alpha$. Let $q_H : GP(X) \rightarrow GP(X)/H$ be the induced projection. Given $\alpha \in GP(X)$, let $[\alpha]_H$ denote the orbit of α under the action.

Recall an action of a group G on a uniform space X is **uniformly equicontinuous** [5] if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage F of X such that for each $g \in G$, $F \subset g^{-1}(E)$. Equivalently, X has a basis of G -invariant entourages [3]. An entourage E is **G -invariant** if for each $g \in G$, $gE = E$.

Lemma 2.1. *Given a subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$, the action of H on $GP(X)$ is uniformly equicontinuous. In particular, given an entourage E of X , the entourage E^* of $GP(X)$ is invariant under the action of H .*

Proof. Let E be an entourage of X . Suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in E^*$ and $h \in H$. Then $(h\alpha)^{-1}(h\beta) = \alpha^{-1}h^{-1}h\beta = \alpha^{-1}\beta$ is E -short so $(h \cdot \alpha, h \cdot \beta) \in E^*$. $\square$

An action of G on X is **neutral** [5] if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage F of X such that if $(x, gy) \in F$ there is an $h \in G$ with $(hx, y) \in E$. Since equicontinuous actions are neutral, q_H has chain lifting and generates a uniform structure on $GP(X)/H$ [3, Remark 3.2].

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ generates the uniform structure on Y and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is any function between uniform spaces.*

1. *If $g \circ f$ generates the uniform structure on Z then g generates the uniform structure on Z .*
2. *If f has chain lifting and $g \circ f$ has approximate uniqueness of chain lifts then g has approximate uniqueness of chain lifts.*
3. *If $g \circ f$ has uniqueness of chain lifts then g has uniqueness of chain lifts.*
4. *If $g \circ f$ has chain lifting then g has chain lifting.*

Proof.

1. First let us see that g is uniformly continuous. Given an entourage E of Z , $(g \circ f)^{-1}(E)$ is an entourage of X . Then $f((g \circ f)^{-1}(E))$ is an entourage of Y . We have $g(f((g \circ f)^{-1}(E))) \subset E$. Now, given an entourage E of Y , we wish to see that $g(E)$ is an entourage of Z . But $g \circ f(f^{-1}(E)) \subset g(E)$ and $g \circ f(f^{-1}(E))$ is an entourage of Z .

2. Given an entourage E of Y , choose an entourage $F \subset f^{-1}(E)$ so that two F -chains starting at the same point are $f^{-1}(E)$ -close if their images under $g \circ f$ are identical. Choose an entourage $K \subset E$ so that K -chains lift to F -chains. Suppose two K -chains start at a point $y \in Y$ have identical images under g . Choose $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ and lift the two chains to F -chains in X starting at x . These chains have identical images under $g \circ f$ so they are $f^{-1}(E)$ -close. Therefore the K -chains are E -close.
3. Suppose E_0 is an entourage of X that is transverse to $g \circ f$. Then $f(E_0)$ is an entourage of Y that is transverse to g .
4. Given an entourage E of Y , choose an entourage $F \subset f^{-1}(E)$ so that $g \circ f(F)$ -chains lift to $f^{-1}(E)$ -chains. Notice $f(F) \subset E$. Let us see that $g(f(F))$ -chains in Z lift to E -chains in Y . Suppose $x \in Y$ and $(g(x), y) \in g(f(F))$. Choose $x' \in f^{-1}(x)$. Then there is a $y' \in X$ with $g \circ f(y') = y$ and $(x', y') \in f^{-1}(E)$. Notice $(f(x'), f(y')) = (x, f(y')) \in E$.

□

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose X is a uniform space and H is a subgroup of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. Then X is locally uniform joinable chain connected if and only if the endpoint map $p_H : GP(X)/H \rightarrow X$ is a generalized uniform covering map.*

Proof. Suppose X is uniform joinable chain connected. Since $p_H \circ q_H = p$ where $p : GP(X) \rightarrow X$ is the endpoint map, according to 2.2, p_H has chain lifting. Also according to 2.2, p_H has approximate uniqueness of chain lifts. Finally, since p has generalized path lifting, p_H has generalized path lifting.

Now suppose p_H is a generalized uniform covering map. In particular it generates the uniform structure on X so $p = p_H \circ q_H$ generates the uniform structure on X . Recall p generates the uniform structure on X if and only if X is uniform joinable chain connected (1.6). □

We wish to have that $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(GP(X)/H, [\alpha_0]_H)) = H$ where α_0 is the constant generalized path at the basepoint of X . For this proof we need p_H to have unique generalized path lifting. Recall that a generalized uniform covering map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has unique generalized path lifting if X is Hausdorff (1.2).

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose X is Hausdorff. Then $GP(X)/H$ is Hausdorff if and only if H is a closed subgroup of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for a uniform space X and a subset $A \subset X$, $x \in X$ is in the closure of A if and only if $B(x, E) \cap A$ is nonempty for each entourage E of X .

Given that X is Hausdorff, $GP(X)/H$ being Hausdorff means that if $\alpha, \beta \in GP(X)$ end at the same point and for each entourage E of X there is a $\gamma(E) \in H$ with $(\alpha, \gamma(E)\beta) \in E^*$, then $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in H$. Now H being a closed subgroup of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ means that if $\lambda \in \tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ and for each entourage E of X there is a $\gamma(E) \in H$ with $(\lambda, \gamma(E)) \in E^*$, then $\lambda \in H$. Since E^* is invariant under the action of H , taking $\lambda = \alpha\beta^{-1}$ shows the equivalence. □

The following indicates that H being closed in $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is equivalent to H being complete.

Proposition 2.5. *$\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is complete.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter in $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. Given an entourage E of X , there is an E^* -bounded set $A_E \in \mathcal{F}$. Since A_E is E^* -bounded, every element of A_E has the same E -term, say c_E . Define a generalized loop $c = \{c_E\}$. To see that c is a generalized path, suppose $F \subset E$ are entourages of X . Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter, there is an $\alpha \in A_F \cap A_E$. Then $c_E = \alpha_E$ and $c_F = \alpha_F$ so c_F is E -homotopic to c_E . Finally, $\mathcal{F}$ converges to c since $A_E \subset B(c, E^*)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *A subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is closed in $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ if and only if H is complete.*

See example 3.6 for a space X and a subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ that is not complete.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose X is Hausdorff and H is a closed subgroup of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. Suppose p_H is a generalized uniform covering map. Then $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(GP(X)/H, [\alpha_0]_H)) = H$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition $GP(X)/H$ is Hausdorff. Therefore p_H has unique generalized path lifting. Suppose $\alpha \in \tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. Now $\alpha \in p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(GP(X)/H, [\alpha_0]_H))$ if and only if α lifts to a generalized loop $\tilde{\alpha}_H$ in $GP(X)/H$. In that case $\tilde{\alpha}_H(1) = [\alpha_0]_H$. But α lifts to a generalized path $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $GP(X)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \alpha$. Then $q_{H*}(\tilde{\alpha})$ is another lift of α in $GP(X)/H$ so $q_{H*}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}_H$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_H(1) = [\alpha]_H$. Therefore $[\alpha]_H = [\alpha_0]_H$ so $\alpha \in H$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose a uniform space X is locally uniform joinable chain connected. Then for each closed subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ there is a Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected space Z and a generalized uniform covering map $p_H : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z)) = H$.*

Proof. According to 2.3, the endpoint map $p_H : GP(X)/H \rightarrow X$ is a generalized uniform covering map. Then by 2.7, $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(GP(X)/H, [\alpha_0]_H)) = H$. Notice $GP(X)/H$ is Hausdorff by 2.4, locally uniform joinable by [4, Proposition 4.4], and chain connected by [1, Corollary 9]. $\square$

Recall the following theorem from the classical setting of topological covering maps: Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ are two covering maps. Suppose X , Y , and Z are path connected and locally path connected. Then f and g are equivalent if and only if the groups $f_*(\pi_1(X))$ and $g_*(\pi_1(Z))$ are conjugate in $\pi_1(Y)$. [6] Note saying that f and g are equivalent means that there is a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Z$ with $f = g \circ h$. We wish to have an analog of this result for generalized uniform covering maps.

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map between uniform spaces with X uniform joinable. Let $y_0 \in Y$ and $x_0, x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_0)$. Then $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$ and $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1))$ are conjugate.*

Proof. This lemma is proved in the same manner that it is proved in the classical setting. Let α be a generalized path in X from x_0 to x_1 . Consider the induced function $f_* : GP(X) \rightarrow GP(Y)$. First suppose γ_1 is a generalized loop in X at x_1 . Then $f_*(\alpha)f_*(\gamma_1)f_*(\alpha)^{-1} = f_*(\alpha\gamma_1\alpha^{-1})$ so $f_*(\alpha)f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1))f_*(\alpha)^{-1} \subset f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$. Now suppose γ_0 is a generalized loop in X at x_0 . Then $f_*(\gamma_0) = f_*(\alpha\alpha^{-1}\gamma_0\alpha\alpha^{-1}) = f_*(\alpha)f_*(\alpha^{-1}\gamma_0\alpha)f_*(\alpha)^{-1}$ so $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)) \subset f_*(\alpha)f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1))f_*(\alpha)^{-1}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between uniform spaces has unique generalized path lifting and X is uniform joinable. Let $y_0 \in Y$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(y_0)$. Given a subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)$ that is conjugate to $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$, there is an $x_1 \in X$ so that $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1)) = H$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha H \alpha^{-1} = f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$ for some $\alpha \in \tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the lift of α in X and x_1 be the endpoint of $\tilde{\alpha}$. By 2.9, $\alpha f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1)) \alpha^{-1} = f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$. Therefore $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1)) = H$. $\square$

We say two maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ are uniform equivalent if there is a uniform equivalence $h : X \rightarrow Z$ with $f = g \circ h$.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ are two generalized uniform covering maps. Suppose X and Z are Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected. Let $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$, and $z_0 \in Z$ with $f(x_0) = g(z_0) = y_0$. Then f and g are uniform equivalent if and only if the groups $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$ and $g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$ are conjugate in $\tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose f and g are uniform equivalent with equivalence $h : X \rightarrow Z$ with $f = g \circ h$. Therefore $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)) = g_* \circ h_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)) = g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, h(x_0)))$. By 2.9 $g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, h(x_0)))$ is conjugate to $g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$.

Now suppose the groups $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$ and $g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$ are conjugate. By 2.10 there is an $x_1 \in X$ with $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_1)) = g_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$. Then by 1.4 there is a lift $h : X \rightarrow Z$ of f with respect to the uniform covering map g and there is a lift $k : Z \rightarrow X$ of g with respect to the uniform covering map f . Now $h \circ k$ is the identity on X since it and the identity are both lifts of f with respect to itself. Similarly $k \circ h$ is the identity on Y . Therefore h is a uniform equivalence. $\square$

We wish to classify generalized uniform covering maps of a locally uniform joinable chain connected space in terms of subgroups of its uniform fundamental group. We will only consider generalized uniform covering maps where the covering space is Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected.

Proposition 2.12. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map. Suppose X is Hausdorff. Let $x_0 \in X$ and set $y_0 = f(x_0)$. Then $f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$ is closed in $\tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)$.*

Proof. Set $H = f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0))$. Suppose $\gamma \in \text{Cl}(H)$. Lift γ to a generalized path $\tilde{\gamma}$ in X starting at x_0 . It suffices to show that the endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}$ is x_0 . Given an entourage E of X , choose an entourage F of X so that if $\alpha, \beta \in GP(X)$ with $(f_*(\alpha), f_*(\beta)) \in F^*$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \in E^*$ (see 1.2). Now there is an $h \in H$ with $(\gamma, h) \in F^*$. Let $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ with $f_*(\tilde{h}) = h$. Then $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{h}) \in E^*$. In particular, $(x, x_0) \in E$ where x is the endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}$. Since X is Hausdorff, $x = x_0$. $\square$

Theorem 2.13. *Suppose X is Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected. Then there is a bijective correspondence between conjugacy classes of closed subgroups of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ and generalized uniform covering maps over X with the covering space being Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a generalized uniform covering map where Z is Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable and chain connected. We identify f with the conjugacy class of the closed subgroup $H = f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$ where $z_0 \in f^{-1}(x_0)$. This identification is well defined and bijective by 2.11. The identification is surjective by 2.8. $\square$

3. UNIFORM COVERING MAPS RELATIVE TO SUBGROUPS OF THE UNIFORM FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

We already know that the endpoint map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map if and only if X is path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected [4]. Let us see when the endpoint map $GP(X) \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map.

Definition 3.1. *A uniform space is simply uniform joinable if every generalized loop is trivial.*

Equivalently, a space X is simply uniform joinable if $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0) = 1$ for each $x_0 \in X$.

Definition 3.2. *A uniform space is semilocally simply uniform joinable if there is an entourage E so that a generalized loop is trivial if its E -term is trivial.*

Equivalently, a space X is semilocally simply uniform joinable if there is an entourage E so that the projection $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(R(X, E), x_0)$ is a monomorphism for all $x_0 \in X$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a generalized uniform covering map with X Hausdorff. If Y is semilocally simply uniform joinable then X is semilocally simply uniform joinable.*

Proof. Suppose Y is semilocally simply uniform joinable with entourage E so that any generalized loop is trivial if its E -term is trivial. Suppose c is a generalized loop at x_0 with $c_{f^{-1}(E)}$ trivial. Then $f(c)_E$ is trivial so $f(c)$ is trivial. But then c and the constant generalized path at x_0 are two lifts of the same generalized path so they must be equal since X is Hausdorff. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *The following are equivalent.*

1. *The projection $\pi_X : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map for some $x_0 \in X$.*
2. *The projection $\pi_X : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map for all $x_0 \in X$.*
3. *X is locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable.*

Proof.

1. $\Rightarrow$ 3. Since π_X is surjective, X is uniform joinable. Since π_X generates the structure on X , by 1.6, X is locally uniform joinable. To see that X is semilocally simply uniform joinable, let E_0^* be a basic transverse entourage of X . Suppose γ is a generalized loop in X at a point $x \in X$ whose E_0 -term is trivial. Let α be a generalized path from x_0 to x . Then $(\alpha, \alpha\gamma) \in E_0^*$ and $\pi_X(\alpha) = \pi_X(\alpha\gamma)$ so $\alpha = \alpha\gamma$ and γ is trivial.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 2. Let $x_0 \in X$. By 1.6 $\pi_X : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ generates the structure on X (uniform joinability and local uniform joinability are used here). Since X is semilocally simply uniform joinable there is an entourage E_0 of X such that any generalized loop in X is trivial if its E_0 -term is trivial. To see that E_0^* is transverse to π_X , suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in E_0^*$ with $\pi_X(\alpha) = \pi_X(\beta)$. Then $\alpha^{-1}\beta$ is a generalized loop in X whose E -term is trivial so it is trivial. Therefore $\alpha = \beta$. Finally, to see that

π_X has chain lifting, let E^* be a basic entourage of $GP(X, x_0)$ and let $F \subset E$ be an entourage of X so that any two $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in F$ can be joined by an E -short generalized path. Suppose $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$ and $(\pi_X(\alpha), y) \in F$. Join $\pi_X(\alpha)$ and y by an E -short generalized path β . Then $(\alpha, \alpha\beta) \in E^*$. $\square$

Now we wish to see that for a locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable space X , for each subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)$ there is a uniform covering map $p_H : Z \rightarrow X$ with $p_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0)) = H$.

Proposition 3.5. *If X is semilocally simply uniform joinable then every subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is complete.*

Proof. Suppose α is a generalized loop in X at the basepoint of X and for each entourage E of X there is an $h(E) \in H$ with $(\alpha, h(E)) \in E^*$. There is an entourage E_0 of X so that any generalized loop is trivial if its E_0 -term is trivial. Therefore $\alpha h(E_0)^{-1}$ is trivial, i.e., $\alpha = h(E_0) \in H$. $\square$

We now see an example of a space X and a subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(x)$ that is not complete.

Example 3.6. *According to the previous proposition, our space X must be non semilocally simply uniform joinable. Consider the Hawaiian Earring HE . Let HE be the union of circles C_n of diameter $1/n$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with center $(0, 1/2n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice HE is not semilocally uniform joinable since given a basic entourage $E_\epsilon = \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}$, if $n > 1/\epsilon$, a generalized path that runs around the circle C_n has trivial E_ϵ term but is not trivial.*

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let α_n be the generalized path that runs around the circle C_n , say clockwise. Let H be the subgroup of $\tilde{\pi}_1(HE)$ generated by $\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider the sequence of generalized paths $\beta_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. This sequence converges to a generalized path β whose E_ϵ terms are defined to be the E_ϵ term of β_n where n is the smallest integer such that $n > 1/\epsilon$. Note β is the generalized path associated with an infinite product of paths known to be a path in HE .

Now $\beta \notin H$ since given any finite product of α_i 's, there is an E_ϵ term of β that goes around a C_n not addressed by an α_i . Since $\tilde{\pi}_1(HE)$ is Hausdorff, the limit of the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ is unique so H is not complete. Thanks to Bob Daverman for suggesting this example.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose a uniform space X is Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable. Suppose $x_0 \in X$. Then for each subgroup H of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)$ there is a Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, and chain connected space Z , a uniform covering map $p_H : Z \rightarrow X$ and a point $z_0 \in p_H^{-1}(x_0)$ such that $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0)) = H$.*

Proof. Consider the endpoint map $p_H : GP(X, x_0)/H \rightarrow X$. According to 3.4, $\pi_X : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map. According to 2.1, $q_H : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow GP(X, x_0)/H$ generates the uniform structure on $GP(X, x_0)/H$. Then, since $\pi_X = p_H \circ q_H$, p_H is a uniform covering map by 2.2. Notice $GP(X)/H$ is locally uniform joinable by [4, Proposition 4.4], and chain connected by [1, Corollary 9]. Now H is closed by 3.5. Then $GP(X)/H$ is Hausdorff by 2.4. Also, $p_{H*}(\tilde{\pi}_1(GP(X, x_0), \alpha_0)) = H$ by 2.7. $\square$

We wish to classify uniform covering maps of locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable spaces. We will only consider

uniform covering maps where the covering space is chain connected. Note that we do not need to explicitly assume that the covering space is Hausdorff or locally uniform joinable since these properties are inherited from the base space via uniform covering maps.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a uniform covering map.*

1. *If Y is Hausdorff then X is Hausdorff.*
2. *If Y is locally uniform joinable then X is locally uniform joinable.*

Proof.

1. Suppose $x, y \in X$ and $(x, y) \in E$ for each entourage E of X . Then $(f(x), f(y)) \in f(E)$ for each entourage E and f generates the uniform structure on Y so $f(x) = f(y)$. But there is an entourage of X that is transverse to f so $x = y$.

2. Given an entourage E of X , there is an entourage $F \subset E$ so that any two F -chains in X starting at the same point who have identical images must be equal. By 1.1 there is an entourage K of Y so that K -short uniform generalized paths lift to F -short uniform generalized paths. Since Y is locally uniform joinable there is an entourage D of Y so that if $(x, y) \in D$, x and y can be joined by an K -short uniform generalized path. Suppose $(x, y) \in f^{-1}(D) \cap F$. Then $(f(x), f(y)) \in D$ so $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ can be joined by an K -short uniform generalized path. This uniform generalized path lifts to an F -short uniform generalized path starting at x . But then a representative of the F -term of this uniform generalized path is an F -chain whose image is $f(x), f(y)$ so the chain must be x, y . $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose X is Hausdorff, locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable. Then there is a bijective correspondence between the conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ and uniform covering maps over X with the covering space being chain connected.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a generalized uniform covering map where Z is chain connected. Notice Z is Hausdorff and locally uniform joinable by the previous lemma. We identify f with the conjugacy class of the subgroup $H = f_*(\tilde{\pi}_1(Z, z_0))$ where $z_0 \in f^{-1}(x_0)$. Notice this subgroup is closed by 3.5. This identification is well defined and bijective by 2.11. The identification is surjective by 3.7. $\square$

4. UNIFORM COVERING MAPS RELATIVE TO SUBGROUPS OF THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

Now we consider the case of X being path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected. Existence of uniform covering maps follows immediately since in this case, $GP(X, x_0)$ is uniformly equivalent to $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X)[4]$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected. Suppose $x_0 \in X$. Then for each subgroup H of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ there is a uniform covering map $p_H : Z \rightarrow X$ and a point $z_0 \in p_H^{-1}(x_0)$ such that $p_{H*}(\pi_1(Z, z_0)) = H$.*

Proof. The natural map from $\tilde{X}$ to $GP(X, x_0)$ is a uniform equivalence [4] so $GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is a uniform covering map which implies that X is locally uniform joinable, chain connected, and semilocally simply uniform joinable. Therefore the theorem follows from 3.7 since $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X)$. $\square$

The fact that if X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected then X is semilocally simply uniform joinable is nontrivial since it is not true that if X is uniformly semilocally simply connected then X is semilocally simply uniform joinable, even for a path connected space (see example 4.3 below). Since it is nontrivial, let us include it as a proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *If X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected then X is semilocally simply uniform joinable.*

Example 4.3. *We will consider a subspace of the Hawaiian Earring to show that a path connected uniformly semilocally simply connected space need not be semilocally simply uniform joinable. Let the Hawaiian earring be as in example 3.6. Obtain a space X by removing, for each n , the point of $(0, 1/n)$ from circle C_n . Notice X is path connected and uniformly semilocally simply connected (in fact X is simply connected). However, X is not semilocally simply uniform joinable. Given an entourage E of X , there is an ϵ so that the basic entourage $E_\epsilon = \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\} \subset E$. Then, if $n > 1/\epsilon$, a generalized path that runs around the circle C_n has trivial E_ϵ term (and therefore trivial E term) but is not trivial.*

Similarly, it is not true that a simply connected space is necessarily simply joinable (consider the circle with one point removed). However, we do have the following.

Proposition 4.4. *If X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and simply connected then X is simply uniform joinable.*

Proof. If X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly path connected, and simply connected then X is uniformly equivalent to $\tilde{X}$. But X is path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected so $\tilde{X}$ is uniformly equivalent to $GP(X)$. Since $GP(X)$ is simply uniform joinable [4, Proposition 4.13], so is X . $\square$

Again, we have the analog to 3.9 for path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected spaces.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose X is Hausdorff, path connected, uniformly locally path connected, and uniformly semilocally simply connected. Then there is a bijective correspondence between the conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$ and uniform covering maps spaces of X .*

Proof. As above, it follows from 4.1 and 2.11 since $\pi_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\pi}_1(X)$. $\square$

There remains the question of when $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ (or $\tilde{X}/H \rightarrow X$ for some subgroup H of $\pi_1(X)$) is a generalized uniform covering map. The following example shows that the analog of 2.3 need not hold. See the forthcoming [7] for a treatment of when $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a generalized uniform covering map.

Example 4.6. *Consider the Hawaiian Earring HE again (see 3.6). Let us see that $\widetilde{HE} \rightarrow HE$ does not have approximate uniqueness of chain lifts. Let the basepoint be at the origin $(0, 0)$. Given any $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, we will find two E_δ^* -chains in $\widetilde{HE}$*

starting at the constant path at the origin with identical images in HE that are not $E_{1/2}^*$ -close. Choose n so that $\pi/2\delta < n < \pi/\delta$. For the first chain, let α_{-1} and α_0 be the constant path at the origin and for each $i \leq n$ let α_i be the path that starts at the origin and goes counterclockwise around C_1 so that it has length $i\delta$. Then α_n goes at least a quarter of the way around C_1 but less than half way. For the second chain, again let β_{-1} be the constant path at the origin but let β_0 be the path that goes once around C_m where m is chosen so that $m \geq 1/\delta$. Then for each $i \leq n$ let $\beta_i = \beta_0\alpha_i$.

These two chains are E_δ^* -chains and have identical images in HE but α_n and β_n are not $E_{1/2}^*$ -close. Indeed $\alpha_n^{-1}\beta_n = \alpha_n^{-1}\beta_0\alpha_n$ cannot be homotoped to a path that is $E_{1/2}$ -bounded since the distance between the endpoints of α_n is at least $\sqrt{2}/2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Berestovskii, C. Plaut, *Uniform universal covers of uniform spaces*, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 1748–1777.
- [2] N. Bourbaki *General Topology*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, B LaBuz, A. Mitra. *Group Actions and Covering Maps in the Uniform Category*, preprint.
- [4] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, B LaBuz, A. Mitra. *Rips Complexes and Covers in the Uniform Category*, preprint.
- [5] I.M. James, *Introduction to Uniform Spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 144, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [6] J. R. Munkres, *Topology*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 2000.
- [7] B LaBuz, *Topological and Uniform Structures on Universal Covering Spaces*, in preparation.

SAINT FRANCIS UNIVERSITY, 114 SULLIVAN BUILDING, LORETTO, PA 15940, BLABUZ@@FRANCIS.EDU, 814-472-3378